

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
1.	Will GW announce the community meeting topics in advance?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	On April 29, 2009, the University provided a list of all community meetings and their respective topics to all attendees of the April 20, 2009 meeting. This information was also published on the neighborhood website ( <a href="http://neighborhood.gwu.edu">http://neighborhood.gwu.edu</a> ).
2.	Can GW provide a list of GW's project team members including their role?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	On April 29, 2009, the University provided a list of all GW project team members to all attendees of the April 20, 2009 meeting. In addition, GW provided this information at the May 7, 2009 community meeting and also published this information on the neighborhood website ( <a href="http://neighborhood.gwu.edu">http://neighborhood.gwu.edu</a> ).
3.	Can GW share the design team Request for Proposal (RFP)?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University made the Request for Proposal available to members of the community to view in advance of the community meeting on May 7, 2009.
4.	Why did GW not build a wall on the north side of the soccer field to buffer noise?	Campus/Community History	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University's representatives were made aware at the April 20, 2009 meeting that this improvement was reflected in the 1999 Campus Plan. At the May 7, 2009 meeting, the University informed the community that GW would construct this wall upon request in the short-term or would consider this as part of the upcoming campus plan. Absent request for immediate implementation, the University considered this improvement as a part of the planning process and has incorporated a 10 foot wall to the north of the soccer field in the draft campus plan.
5.	Storm water management should be considered in the Plan	Storm Water Management	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University has provided a comprehensive stormwater management plan for the campus in the draft campus plan.
6.	The new Plan should protect the residential character of W Street and Berkeley Terrace from becoming "commercialized"	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan takes great care to maintain the character of the adjacent neighborhoods, proposing no development adjacent to the Berkeley Terrace border and a significantly limited development plan along W Street, which is characterized by smaller scale buildings that match the character of the adjacent residences.
7.	Proposed hillside footprints in existing plan are too close to W Street & Foxhall Road	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan proposes a shift in density from the corner of W Street and Foxhall Road to the center of campus. As a result, the proposed footprint in this location is significantly smaller than that in the existing plan and remains within the existing development setbacks.
8.	Avoid a "dormitory campus" by planning a balance of academic and residential uses	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan proposes an increase in academic space to create a balanced living and learning community on the Mount Vernon Campus. Additionally, the draft campus plan proposes a resident cap on the campus to address community concerns regarding GW creating a "dormitory campus" at the Mount Vernon Campus.

## **GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
9.	GW should move all light, noise, and activity to the southern edge of campus away from neighboring residences	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan proposes a shift in proposed density away from the campus edges and towards the core of the campus. Development sites along W Street have been transitioned to academic use to limit the impact on the surrounding neighborhood. The draft campus plan also proposes noise mitigation efforts and lighting standards that reduce the impact on the surrounding community.
10.	Ensure the Phillips Park residential development is included in the planning process	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	In order to encourage community participation in the planning process, the University advertised all community meetings on various community listservs as well as through ads in the Northwest Current.
11.	Did GW share all existing community agreements with the Design Team?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University has shared all documentation regarding the 1999 Campus Plan and existing neighborhood agreements with the design team.
12.	Can GW repair or relocate the loud generator along Foxhall Rd?	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University will mitigate noise generated by the mechanical equipment located behind Eckles Library by relocation, replacement or improvement of equipment, or, if necessary, construction of a sound-attenuating wall. The appropriate mitigation measures will be determined through continued engineering and design.
13.	What is the definition of a Full Time Equivalent Student and what is the current number?	Population	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University's curriculum establishes a course load criteria for students to be considered "full time". For undergraduate programs this is 12 credits; for most graduate programs this is 9 credits. A full time equivalent (FTE) student count is a count that is determined by counting each full-time student as 1 FTE and each part time student as a proportional fraction of a FTE (i.e., an undergraduate student taking 3 credits is considered .25 FTE because the student takes 3/12 or 25% of a full-time course load). As of fall 2009 census, the maximum number of FTE students on the campus in a given day is 623.
14.	How often are the athletic fields used and what percentage of the activities need lighting?	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The athletic fields are used multiple times each day for various games, practices, and events. Based on community feedback the University has determined that it will not pursue lighting of the soccer field.
15.	The new Plan should protect the environmental buffer	Sustainability	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan proposes no change to the areas in the 1999 Plan that were identified as protected from future campus development.
16.	Will GW agree not sell the "dogleg" strip of land?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	While the University does not intend to sell land within the boundaries of the Mount Vernon Campus, the University is not willing to agree to limit its rights as a property owner with respect to this or other land.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
17.	What academic programs might be offered at MV in the future?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	While the campus plan does not detail the specifics of future academic programming, the University intends on enhancing the balanced living and learning community on the campus by providing a variety of course offerings to serve students.
18.	Will the new Pelham Hall feature academic space?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The Pelham Redevelopment Project includes student residential and campus support space. There is no academic space located in the building.
19.	Will GW seek to increase total student enrollment in the new Plan?	Population	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University is requesting a modest increase in the base student enrollment numbers as a part of this campus plan. Specifically, the University is requesting the equivalent of a 15% increase in the daily headcount cap and 10% increase in the daily FTE cap. As a part of this increase, however, the University is proposing consolidating into this cap the current cap for non-traditional, evening, weekend students, which is treated separately in the current campus plan.
20.	Are there plans to change the mix of students (currently primarily freshmen campus)?	Population	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University anticipates that the Mount Vernon Campus will continue to serve both the graduate and undergraduate learning communities, but with a continued emphasis on freshmen and sophomores, particularly as resident students.
21.	How will GW develop a balanced campus without increasing the impacts of the number of people on the campus?	Population	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University is requesting only a modest increase in the student enrollment caps on the campus in the new campus plan and the campus plan identifies measures to mitigate potential impacts such as continued use of the Vern Express to transport students, faculty and staff to the campus and concentration of new campus buildings toward the center of the campus.
22.	Why does GW need a fence around the Campus?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	A fenced campus exterior helps to direct pedestrian traffic to appropriate entrance/exit points between the campus and nearby areas and also provides security for the campus community.
23.	Will GW include an environmental impact statement for the Campus Plan?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The campus planning process does not include the submission of an environmental impact statement. The environmental review process is conducted as part of the building permit process. GW will conduct this process, as outlined by DCRA, as it moves forward with future development sites on the campus.
24.	New Plan should include green building techniques, including green roofs	Sustainability	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The University will design and construct all new campus buildings to achieve the equivalent of certification under the LEED NC rating system. The University will also enhance the campus' stormwater management infrastructure during the term of the Plan, using methods such as green roofs, rain gardens, rain tanks and other DDOE approved solutions.
25.	Some current buildings are inconsistent with neighborhood character	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan includes design guidelines for future development to ensure consistency of new development with the neighborhood and campus character.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
26.	Some current buildings turn their back to Foxhall	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	Many of the buildings in this area were originally designed to front the internal campus quadrangle. In order to mitigate this issue, the draft campus plan includes landscape improvements that enhance the campus perimeter, including Foxhall Road. Additionally, design guidelines have been established for future campus development to ensure appropriate design of new structures.
27.	Poor street facing image along Foxhall	Campus Development	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The draft campus plan includes landscape improvements that enhance the campus perimeter, including Foxhall Road. Specifically along Foxhall Road the plan includes the relocation of the fencing away from Foxhall Road within landscaping in order to minimize visual impacts of campus fencing.
28.	Will the community be shut out of the comment process after the project goes to DC?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 1, 4/20/2009	The Zoning Commission process includes a public hearing process where members of the community are provided the opportunity to comment on the plan before the Commission for review.
29.	Community will not support athletic field lights	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	While the University believes that soccer field lighting could be accomplished with no additional impact to the community, due to the significant community concerns, the University has determined not to pursue lighting on the soccer fields.
30.	No increase of campus lighting in any respect other than a demonstrated need for student safety and security.	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	New campus lighting will be limited to that reasonably required for campus safety and security. Lighting will be designed in a high quality manner with the goal of limiting impact on adjacent neighborhood properties and will specifically not include flood lights angled toward the campus perimeter. The University will not be permitted to light the soccer field.
31.	Will GW consider new restrictions on Tennis Court Lights?	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	In response to community concern, the University will add timers to the tennis court lights that turn off the lights at the 11pm shut off time. Additionally, the University will implement switching such that courts are not lit when not reasonably in use.
32.	Will GW consider closing W Street Entrance?	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The draft campus plan includes a proposal for closing the W Street entrance, with the exception of emergency vehicles, pedestrians, emergency access needs and continued use of the entrance for move-in/move-out activities (maximum 4 days/year).
33.	Will GW consider removing W Street Parking Lot?	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The draft campus plan includes a proposal for removing the W Street parking lot at the completion of the Ames Hall project.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
34.	Limit amplified sound/music	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University proposes to carry forward the existing conditions of the 1999 Campus Plan such that no amplified sound on the tennis courts is permitted after 7pm. No amplified sound on the soccer field is permitted after 7pm except for a declared overtime or in the case of non-athletic "Special Events," which may occur a maximum of 12 times per year.
35.	The Plan should address construction noise (from proposed buildings)	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University will abide by all DC noise regulations in its operations and during construction activities.
36.	The Plan should address construction noise emanating from condensers and other equipment on campus	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University will abide by all DC noise regulations in its operations and during construction activities. The University will mitigate noise generated by the mechanical equipment located behind Eckles Library by relocation, replacement or improvement of equipment, or, if necessary, construction of a sound-attenuating wall. The appropriate mitigation measures will be determined through continued engineering and design.
37.	Minimize traffic noise from inner campus roads, particularly around new routing for Pelham	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The use of Secondary Whitehaven Access Road and the campus perimeter road will remain limited as per existing agreements.
38.	The total number of residence students and the definition of "FTE" should be such that the total student population on campus at any one time does not change measurably from the actual number of students that utilized the campus in the 2000-2009 period	Population	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University is requesting only a modest increase in the base student enrollment numbers as a part of this campus plan. Specifically, the University is requesting the equivalent of a 15% increase in the daily headcount cap and a 10% increase in the daily FTE cap. Given that during the term of the existing campus plan, the University nearly reached its daily headcount cap of 1,500, the University believes that this increase represents a small increase from the number of students that utilized the campus during the 2000-2009 period. Additionally, as a part of this increase the University is proposing consolidating into this cap the current cap for non-traditional, evening, weekend students, which is treated separately in the current campus plan.
39.	GW should ensure the continued implementation of current agreements and understandings	Campus/Community History	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	GW proposes to bring forward conditions of the existing campus plan along with terms of recent neighborhood agreements.
40.	New Plan should require continued maintenance of existing landscape buffers and screens	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University will maintain the previously identified areas that are protected from future campus development. Existing landscape buffers will be maintained and plants/trees that have suffered harm will be replaced on an ongoing basis throughout the duration of the Plan.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
41.	GW should agree to replace plants and trees that have died	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	Plants and trees that have suffered harm will be replaced on an ongoing basis throughout the duration of the Plan.
42.	Foxhall Road needs a renewed landscape/buffer	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The draft campus plan includes landscape improvements that enhance the campus perimeter, including Foxhall Road. The University will maintain a fence on Foxhall but it will be relocated such that it is set back in the landscape buffer to minimize visual impact.
43.	New buildings should include intensive landscaping and buffers	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The draft campus plan includes landscape improvements that enhance the campus perimeter, particularly along Foxhall, W Street and the Whitehaven entrance.
44.	New Plan should include a comprehensive storm water management plan designed to minimize the impact of potential run-off on the adjacent community as well as be consistent with sustainability and best practices	Storm Water Management	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University has developed a comprehensive stormwater management plan for the Mount Vernon Campus. The stormwater management system associated with each development site will be designed to have a 0% net impact on the stormwater drainage system. Potential stormwater technologies to be implemented with each building include: green roofs, rain gardens, bioswales, bioretention areas, raintank/infiltration with pre-treatment facilities, bioretention boxes, cisterns/rain barrels, "Grasspave 2" and similar systems, sandfilters/stormfilters, and pervious paving. Retention of storm water will also be evaluated for each development site.
45.	New Plan should require continued efforts to minimize and police parking by students, faculty, and visitors on W Street and in the adjacent neighborhood	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	GW will prohibit, to the extent possible by law, parking by students, faculty, staff, and visitors on residential streets adjacent to the campus. The University will prohibit, by contract, its construction workers engaged in campus development work from parking on residential streets adjacent to the campus. Students will continue to be discouraged from bringing cars to the campus, but if vehicles are brought to campus, GW will require that they be parked in the parking garage.
46.	Plan should include new signage at the Whitehaven entrance	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	Whitehaven will be the primary campus entrance for students, faculty, staff and visitors to the campus. As a result, the Whitehaven entrance will be enhanced with improved landscaping and signage.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
47.	Use of athletic facilities by outside groups should not be excessive, nor exacerbate neighborhood parking, noise, W Street access, etc. and that every effort will be made to use the Whitehaven entrance for all such events	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University will make available facilities on its campus for use by community organizations, on a space available basis. These resources will continue to be offered on a fee-basis depending upon the nature of the organization, the space requested and other such features. The use of campus resources by community organizations will not be such that it becomes objectionable with respect to noise, parking or other measures.
48.	Height of any new building should not be greater than the existing height (taking into account the apex of the new roof or any other roof structures of existing buildings along W Street.)	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	Heights of new buildings will be compatible with adjacent existing campus buildings. Maximum building heights will not exceed those outlined in the draft campus plan.
49.	The set backs for proposed new buildings should be greater than the existing set backs from the public streets	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University will maintain previously established areas that are protected from future campus development.
50.	Any new construction should "lean" as much as possible toward Whitehaven Street	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The draft campus plan proposes a shift in proposed density away from the campus edges and towards the core of the campus. Additionally, development sites along W Street have been transitioned to academic use in order to limit the impact on the surrounding neighborhood.
51.	Any construction activities should be minimized to the extent possible with respect to such matters as noise, hours, vehicular access and routing, etc	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	Construction will occur only during the hours set forth in the DC regulations (currently 7am – 7pm Monday – Saturday), with an exception for emergency circumstances as permitted by DCRA. The University will prohibit, by contract, its construction workers engaged in campus development work from parking on residential streets adjacent to the campus.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
52.	Proposed new building(s) to be constructed on the campus that were included in the 1999-2010 Campus Plan should be decreased in size by the same amount of square footage that Pelham Hall was increased in size over that amount set forth in the 1999 Campus Plan.	Campus Development	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	The University has taken into account the size of the Pelham redevelopment project in the presentation of the current and proposed gross floor area numbers on the campus. The draft campus plan does not increase the gross floor area to be developed proposed in the 1999 Plan, but reallocates development to different areas of the campus to concentrate development away from adjacent residential areas.
53.	New Plan should include mechanisms for dispute resolution	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Preliminary Statement, 5/7/2009	It is GW's expectation that the University and its neighbors will be able to discuss and come to agreement regarding resolution of disputes that may arise during the life of the 2010 Mount Vernon Campus Plan. However, any disputes that are unable to be resolved between the parties will be submitted to the Zoning Administrator for review and action, as outlined in the DC regulations governing campus plans.
54.	Will the items in the current plan automatically roll into the next plan and allow GW to build them as a matter of right?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The items in the current campus plan do not "automatically roll into the next plan." However, GW has proposed carrying forward many of the fundamentals of the 1999 Plan as part of the draft campus plan.
55.	How will GW prove their commitment to a consensus on principles after changing the order of construction projects in the last plan thru Pelham construction?	Campus/Community History	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The redevelopment of Pelham Hall was pursued by the University through an amendment to the 1999 Campus Plan. The community was fully engaged in the amendment process and the University received the support of those members of the community most directly affected by the Pelham project.
56.	Why would GW build Pelham if there was a need for more academic space?	Campus/Community History	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The space requirements for universities are influenced by changing demands for academic, student support and residential campus amenities. Pelham Hall was designed to meet demands for residential and student support space on the campus. Once Pelham is complete there will be an opportunity to add academic space to the campus to balance the new residential with additional academic space.
57.	Will the community meeting PowerPoint presentations be distributed?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	All presentations are available on the GW neighborhood website ( <a href="http://neighborhood.gwu.edu">http://neighborhood.gwu.edu</a> ) and hardcopies have been printed and provided to members of the community upon request.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
58.	Does EEK's unbuilt square footage data include the adjustment made for the additional square footage of Pelham Hall?	Campus/Community History	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The University has taken into account the size of the Pelham project in the presentation of the current and future gross floor area numbers on the campus. All data includes the adjustment made for Pelham Hall.
59.	GW should consider swapping the softball field with the hillside residence halls	Campus Development	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The relocation of the softball field was investigated and determined to be infeasible. These results were shared with the community at the June 11, 2009 community meeting.
60.	How often does GW use its athletic fields for its own activities?	Information Sharing	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The athletic fields are used multiple times each day for various games and practices related to GW athletic activities.
61.	1999 Plan development Site J is too large of a footprint and would negatively impact the neighborhood	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The University believes that the proposed site R1 (former site J) is appropriately sized for its location on the campus. Further, the building is located at the center of the campus away from adjacent neighbors
62.	Site E should reorient Ames Hall towards Whitehaven to create a better campus entrance at Whitehaven	Campus Development	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The proposed development of Ames Hall has favorably designed and re-oriented the south façade of Ames Hall such that the building will create a welcoming entrance to the campus.
63.	Can GW build a pedestrian entrance to connect to the new traffic light at Foxhall Road?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The University explored a Foxhall pedestrian entrance in response to community discussion. After further evaluation, GW has decided not to move forward with this concept due to traffic and safety concerns.
64.	GW should consider the use of an irrigation system to better maintain GW's landscaping	Sustainability	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	Under the US Green Building Councils' recommendations for sustainable design, the use of irrigation is not considered a sustainable approach to landscape maintenance.
65.	New development plan should protect any vegetation more than 20 years old	Sustainability	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	As a matter of practice, the University will maintain campus landscaping and remove damaged and diseased trees as necessary.
66.	GW should remove ivy from the tree trunks	Sustainability	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	As a matter of practice, the University will maintain campus landscaping and remove damaged and diseased trees as necessary.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
67.	Will GW conduct a building conditions survey to determine which buildings are appropriate for replacement?	Campus Development	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The University has proposed future campus development in locations that are responsive to community concerns associated with the location of new campus development. The University will continue to maintain buildings on its campus irrespective of their designation for future redevelopment.
68.	Will GW conduct a lighting feasibility study on the tennis court lights?	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 2, 5/7/2009	The University will add timers that switch tennis court lights off at 11pm. Additionally, the University will implement switching such that courts are not lit when not reasonably in use.
69.	Will GW's Plan respond to DC's recent tax on impermeable surfaces?	Storm Water Management	Email to Robert Snyder, 5/14/2009	The University complies with all current DC regulations and has proposed a comprehensive stormwater management plan in the draft campus plan.
70.	GW should conduct shadow studies	Light	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The development sites proposed in the draft campus plan are located such that they will not be located near enough to any adjacent residential development to warrant shadow or other related studies.
71.	GW should not hinder sight lines at the corner of Foxhall and W Street (buildings, fencing, and signage)	Traffic	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	Proposed improvements to the campus perimeter – including the addition of site A1 - do not hinder sight lines at the corner of Foxhall and W Streets. Demolition of the existing campus sign may increase sightlines in this area for vehicles approaching the stop sign at W and Foxhall from the west.
72.	GW should consider the "jug handle" traffic calming device at Foxhall and Phillips Park when planning for the Foxhall entrance	Traffic	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University explored a Foxhall pedestrian entrance in response to community discussion. After further evaluation, GW has decided not to move forward with this concept due to traffic and safety concerns.
73.	The condenser by hand chapel is loud	Noise	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University has recently provided screening for this condenser unit via both landscaping and a wall structure. The University believes that this noise has been mitigated through existing efforts, but will engage in dialogue with members of the community who seek to further address the matter.
74.	Noise from soccer field activities	Noise	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University has proposed construction of the 10 foot wall to the north of the soccer field in order to assist with noise mitigation from soccer field activities. Additionally, GW has agreed not to pursue lighting of the soccer field.
75.	GW should hire an acoustical engineer to resolve noise issues	Noise	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	GW engaged an acoustical engineer to evaluate potential solutions for alleviating perimeter noise concerns. Solutions to the issues identified for investigation by this engineer have been included in the draft campus plan.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**  
*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
76.	DC standard globe style streetlamps should not be used as they disperse light into the community.	Light	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University acknowledges this request and on future projects and light replacement will seek lighting solutions that have a low light pollution impact.
77.	All lights should aimed to disperse light downward	Light	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University acknowledges this request and on future projects and light replacement will seek lighting solutions that have a low light pollution impact.
78.	Wall mounted lights should be removed	Light	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University proposes to redirect or remove flood lights directed toward the campus perimeter.
79.	LED lights with foreign colors are objectionable	Light	Community Meeting 4, 7/9/2009	The University acknowledges this request and on future projects and light replacement will seek lighting solutions that have a low light pollution impact.
80.	GW should maintain the existing development setback	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The draft campus plan proposes no change to the areas in the 1999 Plan that were identified as protected from future campus development.
81.	GW should consider installing a real curb along Foxhall	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The University believes that this request is outside the scope of the realm of the draft campus plan and suggests that this concern be brought to DDOT for appropriate action.
82.	GW should ensure that local fire trucks can fit on campus roadways	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The University has met with the DC Fire Chief to ensure coordination of emergency services to the campus.
83.	GW should not use bollards to close campus entrances	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The University has not used bollards in the design of the W Street closure.
84.	GW should maintain the W Street entrance for emergency vehicles	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The University has proposed to maintain the W Street entry for emergency vehicles.
85.	GW should clearly state all storm water management details for each proposed site	Sustainability	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	Storm water management strategies have been proposed under this draft campus plan. Storm water strategies specific to the site and appropriate to the building use and design will be implemented during the design phase.
86.	GW should compare the rooflines of its development sites to neighboring homes	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	Heights of new buildings will be compatible with adjacent existing campus buildings. Maximum building heights will not exceed those outlined in the draft campus plan.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
87.	GW should further articulate development site massings for a more accurate depiction	Campus Development	Community Meeting 5, 8/13/2009	The development site massings included in the draft campus plan illustrate height and bulk. However, the design guidelines included in the plan outline design considerations for new buildings on the campus in order to provide a higher level of detail on the materials and “look” of future buildings.
88.	GW should remove unattractive seasonal tennis and pool signs that face residential neighbors	Neighborhood Impacts	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University acknowledges this concern and will work to reduce the impact of seasonal signage on neighboring streets.
89.	The proposed Foxhall pedestrian entrance might encourage cars to stop and drop off passengers resulting in vehicular and pedestrian safety issues	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University explored a Foxhall pedestrian entrance in response to community discussion. After further evaluation, GW has decided not to move forward with this concept due to traffic and safety concerns.
90.	The W Street entrance should not be too discreet as it also functions as emergency access.	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	Requirements for emergency responders will be captured during the design and permitting phases of this work, however, initial discussions with the DC Fire Chief have yielded no objections to the proposed design of the entrance.
91.	GW should avoid placing new signage at the intersection of Foxhall and Deerfield Roads (Phillips Park) as it will be visually distracting.	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University has communicated with the DC Department of Transportation to request guidance as to the appropriate location of the directional signage along Foxhall Road. GW will continue this engagement to identify the appropriate location for this sign.
92.	GW should enlarge the traffic study to include MacArthur, Reservoir, & Canal Roads.	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	Based on community input, the study area was expanded to include the MacArthur Road/Reservoir Road intersection. The study area identified only those intersections that potentially could be affected by the proposed changes associated with the campus plan.
93.	GW’s traffic study should include the future impacts of Pelham Hall.	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The traffic study presented at the October 22, 2009 community meeting reflected on all campus impacts including the Pelham Hall Redevelopment Project.
94.	GW’s parking plan should address any restrictions on DC residents who drive to campus	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University prohibits all university parking on residential streets adjacent to the campus. The University will continue to monitor and enforce these restrictions, to the extent permitted by law.

**GW MOUNT VERNON CAMPUS PLAN: ISSUES EXHIBIT**

*(Issues & Responses – October 22, 2009)*

	COMMUNITY ISSUE/COMMENT	CATEGORY	SOURCE	RESPONSES
95.	GW should talk to DDOT about fixing the Foxhall alignment issues that developed from the construction of the entrance to Phillips Park	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University has communicated this community request to the DC Department of Transportation for their review and consideration.
96.	GW should talk to DDOT about adding speed bumps on Foxhall Road	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University will communicate this community request to the DC Department of Transportation for their review and consideration.
97.	GW should consider putting bike racks on their shuttle buses	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University is currently discussing different options for improvements to the GW shuttle service with the shuttle service contractor and will consider accommodation of bicycles as a part of these discussions.
98.	GW's proposed parking restrictions on Whitehaven might encourage parking on W Street and could also cause problems for pool and tennis users.	Traffic	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The referenced parking restrictions are currently in place as a matter of University policy. However, absent proper signage, enforcement of these restrictions is difficult. Members of the community present at the September 10, 2009 community meeting generally agreed that signage limiting parking on the north side of Whitehaven Parkway on weekdays between 7am – 4pm would be appropriate and assist the University in managing this issue. The University has approached the DC Department of Transportation for advice and assistance in addressing this matter.
99.	GW should project athletics' impact over the life of the Campus Plan	Population	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University anticipates that the athletic fields will continue to serve the needs of the University and will also continue to serve certain community organizations that utilize the athletic fields. The use of these facilities by community organizations will not be such that they become objectionable with respect to noise, parking, or other measures.
100.	GW should include faculty and staff projections over the life of the Campus Plan	Population	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University presented faculty/staff count projections at the October 22, 2009 community meeting.
101.	GW should present their new enrollment cap under the current and proposed methodologies	Population	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University presented its proposed student enrollment cap under both a daily and semester methodology at the October 22, 2009 community meeting.
102.	GW should present their enrollment numbers in the context of the campus' absorption level	Population	Community Meeting 6, 9/10/2009	The University has proposed enrollment caps based upon existing use of the campus and future programmatic concepts. The University believes that the modest increase in student enrollment that is being requested will neither hinder the campus' ability to serve the population and nor result in a negative impact on neighbors.